

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/645,156	Applicant(s) JUNG, JOO-SUCK
	Examiner Terrence R. Till	Art Unit 1744

All Participants:

(1) Terrence R. Till.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) P. Greeley.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 September 2006

Time: 9:45am

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 112, second paragraph

Claims discussed:

1-3

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 9/18/06 the examiner called applicant's representative to inform him that the limitations of claim 4 incorporated into claim 1 make the claim confusing as there are no first and second brackets claimed. It was not realized, at the time the Final Office Action was mailed, that claims 2, 3 and 4 all depended on claim 1; not the claim immediately preceding it. The examiner proposed adding the subject matter of claims 2 and 3 into claim 1 to establish antecedent basis for the third and fourth brackets. On 9/26/06, Applicant's representative approved adding the subject matter of claims 2 and 3 to claim 1, resolving the 112, second paragraph issue..